United States District Court

for the

SOUTHERN District of ILLINOIS

United States of America)
v.	
CAMEDON L DI AVE) Case No. 20-CR-30123-NJR
CAMERON J. BLAKE Defendant	
2 Germani	
ORDER OF DET	ENTION PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligibility for Detention	
Upon the	
	rsuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
Motion of the Government or Court's o	own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
e	ation is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact (2(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and	Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U	U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable
presumption that no condition or combination of and the community because the following conditions	conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person ions have been met:
\square (1) the defendant is charged with one of the	ne following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
 `` ` ` ` ·	of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. num term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
\square (b) an offense for which the maximum	ım sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C	term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	en convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses

(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise

(iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and

jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; **or** (**e**) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:

described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal

(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);

to Federal jurisdiction had existed; *and*

(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; *and*

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

■ Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of
imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
□ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is
ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: □ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. □ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: □ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. □ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: ⊠By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. □By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ⊠ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision Conditions of subject to lengthy period of incarceration in pending trial because the Government has proven: Subject to lengthy period of incarceration, parole, or supervision Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision Participation in criminal activity Participation Partic
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial	
☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States	
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States	
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration	
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered	
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement	
Use of alias(es) or false documents	
Background information unknown or unverified	
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release	
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:	
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention	
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	

/s/Gilbert C. Sison
United States Magistrate Judge

Date:

10/01/2020